#### Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency

#### **Climate forcing from new HFC scenarios**

Offsetting climate benefits Montreal Protocol

#### **Guus Velders**

NCGG-5, Wageningen, June 30, 2009



#### Well known benefits Montreal Protocol

- Large decreases in CFC production (>90%) and emissions (60-90%)
- Concentrations also decreasing
- Emerging evidence of start of ozone layer recovery
- Full recovery around 2050, later in polar regions



**HFCs offset climate benefits Montreal Protocol** 

CFCs, HCFCs are greenhouse gases  $\rightarrow$ 

Dual protection Montreal Protocol: to Ozone layer and Climate change

Already achieved climate benefits 5-6 times larger than Kyoto Protocol targets for 2008-2012

*New*: Climate benefits can be offset by projected increases in HFCs by 2050

*New*: HFC emissions can reach 9-19% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 2050



#### **Effects Montreal Protocol on climate**



Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency

HFC scenarios - Guus Velders, June 30, 2009

# **Radiative forcing leading to climate change**



Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency

#### **HCFCs: Accelerated increases**

- Global phaseout of CFCs (1996, 2010) → increases in HCFCs
- Accelerated increases from use in developing countries
- HCFC phaseout in developed (2020) and developing (2030) countries ->



Montzka et al., GRL (2009)

Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency

# Strong growth projected in HFC use

- Global phaseout CFCs and HCFCs
- Much of application demand for refrigeration, air conditioning, heating and thermal-insulating foam production to be met by HFCs
- Demand for HFCs increases globally





Photo W. Hoogakker, Jordan

Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency

HFC scenarios - Guus Velders, June 30, 2009

#### Scenarios: update of previous estimates

- Long-term scenario: IPCC/SRES (2000)
  - Developed countries: demand ~ population (0.1-0.4%/yr)
  - Developing countries: demand ~ GDP (4-6%/yr)
  - 1990s: HFCs hardly in use
- New information:
  - Increased HCFC consumption developing countries
  - Atmospheric observations of HCFCs and HFCs
  - Patterns of replacements of HCFCs by HFCs
  - Provisions of the 2007 accelerated HCFC phaseout
  - Increases in HFC-134a use in mobile air-conditioning
  - Saturation of HFC consumption
  - EU mobile AC regulation (past 2011/2017 GWP<150)</li>



#### **Scenarios: Increases in HCFC consumption**

- Developed counties:
  - HCFC consumption decreases → phaseout already in progress
- Developing countries:
  - HCFC consumption increase: 20%/yr
  - CFC+HCFC increase: 8%/yr
- HCFC consumption = starting point new scenarios



Data reported to UNEP

Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency

# **Replacing HCFCs with HFCs**

- Refrigeration, air conditioning, foam production
- Replacement scheme developed countries:
  - HCFC-22 → 35% R404A, 55% R410A, 10% NIK
  - HCFC-141b → 50% HFC-245fa, 50% NIK
  - HCFC-142b → 50% HFC-134a, 50% NIK
  - R404A, R410A: Blends of HFC-32, -125, -134a, -143a
- Applied to developing countries
- Mobile AC: HFC-134a
- Foam, aerosol: HFC-365mfc, HFC-152a (minor use)





HFC scenarios – Guus Velders, June 30, 2009

#### Large projected growth in HFC consumption

- HCFC phaseout schedule
- HFC consumption, emissions in developing countries up to 800% greater than in developed countries in 2050 Saturation in consumption: • Developed countries after HCFC phaseout in 2020
- - Developing countries consumption does not exceed per capita consumption in developed countries



HFC scenarios - Guus Velders, June 30, 2009

#### **HFCs: Increases in mixing ratios**

- HFCs do not deplete the ozone
- HFCs are greenhouse gases GWP(100 yr):
  - HFC-125 3,500
  - HFC-134a 1,430
  - HFC-143a 4,470
  - HFC-152a 120
- Observed mixing ratios and derived emissions constrain scenarios



1998

1990

1994

Montzka, NOAA/ESRL

2006

2002

# **Global emissions of HFCs**

- Global HFC emissions in 2050: 5.5–8.8 GtCO<sub>2</sub>-eq yr<sup>-1</sup>
- equivalent to 9–19% of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions BAU
- Larger in comparison with CO<sub>2</sub> stabilization scenarios from IPCC/AR4



#### Scenarios exceed previous estimates

- IPCC/SRES (2000) emissions up to 2100
- IPCC/TEAP (2005) emissions up to 2015
- Larger emissions past 2015:
  - Other HFCs than used in SRES: HFC-125, HFC-143a: use confirmed by observations
  - Higher starting point
  - Accelerated HCFC phaseout



Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency

#### **Emissions from accelerated HCFC phaseout**

- Montreal Protocol adjustment of Sept 2007 → accelerated HCFC phaseout
- Effects on climate were considered
- Use of low-GWP alternatives advocated
- These scenarios: HCFC decreases compensated by HFC increases



# **Radiative forcing of HFCs**

- Global radiative forcing HFCs in 2050: 0.25–0.40 W m<sup>-2</sup>
- Compared with CO<sub>2</sub> (BAU) of 2.9–3.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>
- HFCs equivalent to that from 6–13 years of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions



# **Offsetting climate benefits Montreal Protocol**

- HFC emissions offset climate benefits Montreal Protocol:
- CFC emissions peaked in 1988:
  9.4 GtCO<sub>2</sub>-eq yr<sup>-1</sup>
- Could have reached by 2010: 15–18 GtCO<sub>2</sub>-eq yr<sup>-1</sup> (in the absence of Montreal Protocol regulations)
- HFC emissions by 2050:
  5.5–8.8 GtCO<sub>2</sub>-eq yr<sup>-1</sup>



# **Potential of HFC mitigation**

- Hypothetical scenarios:
  - Global consumption freeze (cap) in 2014/2024
  - Followed by 2% and 4% annual reductions
- Other scenarios analyzed:
  - Lieberman-Warner
  - Mobile AC EU regulation applied globally
  - Effects much smaller



HFC scenarios – Guus Velders, June 30, 2009



#### Potential of HFC mitigation (2)

Scenario: freeze & -4%/yr

- Total HFC emissions reduced through 2050 by 70– 113 GtCO<sub>2</sub>-eq
- Radiative forcing HFCs peaks near 2040
- Radiative forcing HFCs reduced by 0.18–0.30 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2050
- Equivalent to CO<sub>2</sub> RF: from 6–13 years to 2–3 years



# Are alternatives available?

- Not-in-kind alternatives:
  - Non-halocarbons, different technologies
  - Phaseout of CFCs in 1980-1990s → 80% replaced with nonhalocarbons alternatives
- For some applications: CO<sub>2</sub>, ammonia, hydrocarbons
  - Flammability, toxicity considerations
- New halocarbons: Perflurobutenes, HFOs, etc.
  - Lifetimes days to weeks
  - GWP <10
  - Don't affect ozone layer: ODP = 0
  - Currently being developed; approval pending

# Life cycle climate performance (LCCP)

- Important is the total effect on climate
- Direct climate forcings
  - GWP-weighted emissions, Radiative forcing
- Indirect climate forcings
  - Energy used or saved during the application lifespan
  - Energy used to during manufacturing
- Total effect on climate  $\rightarrow$  Life cycle climate performance

#### On the political arena

- US proposals
  - Waxman-Markey (accepted by the House, June 26)
  - Boucher-Dingell (House)
  - Lieberman-Warner (Senate)
  - Explicit reductions in HFC consumption



- UNFCCC: Climate negotiations Copenhagen (Dec. 2009)
- EC statement: controls on HFCs in new climate treaty
- Montreal Protocol
  - Geneva workshop (July 2009), preparing for MOP Cairo (Nov. 2009)
  - Micronesia, Mauritius: Proposal to include HFCs in Montreal Protocol
  - Effects on climate considered ->

#### **Montreal Protocol and Kyoto Protocol**

Montreal Protocol:

- Protection of ozone layer (UNEP treaty 1987)
- Production and consumption
- Gases: CFCs, halons, HCFCs, methyl bromide, etc.
- Phase-out schedule (CFCs 2010, HCFCs 2030)
- Climate considerations taken into account

#### Kyoto Protocol:

- Protection of climate (UN treaty 1997)
- Emissions
- Basket of 6 gases: CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, HFCs, PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub>
- ~5% reduction from 1990 by 2008-2012
- Does not cover ozone depleting compounds





# **HFCs in Montreal Protocol?**

#### Yes, because:

- HFC uses are the result of phaseout of CFCs, HCFCs
- Same applications as CFCs, HCFCs
- Instruments and know-how available
- Climate considerations taken into account

#### No, because:

- HFCs do not deplete the ozone layer
- Already covered by Kyoto Protocol
- Kyoto: emissions reductions of "gases not covered by the Montreal Protocol"





#### **HFCs offset climate benefits Montreal Protocol**

Dual protection Montreal Protocol: to Ozone layer and Climate change

- Climate benefits can be offset by projected increases in HFCs
- HFC emissions can reach 9-19% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2050
- Large projected growth mainly in developing countries
- Energy used during whole life cycle is important

#### Study in close collaboration with ...

- John Daniel, NOAA/ESRL
- Dave Fahey, NOAA/ESRL
- Mack McFarland, DuPont
- Steve Andersen, US-EPA

# Thank you for your attention

